The Evidence for Values-Based and Ecosystemic Education: Why Human Flourishing Requires a New Educational Paradigm

Wendy Ellyatt - July 2026

1. Introduction: Education at a Civilisational Turning Point

Around the world, education is undergoing a profound reappraisal. Governments, international organisations, researchers and practitioners increasingly recognise that the challenges facing contemporary education cannot be addressed through curriculum reform, assessment changes or technological innovation alone. Rising concerns about mental health, ecological degradation, social fragmentation, declining trust, inequality and the accelerating influence of artificial intelligence have exposed limitations in educational paradigms that were largely designed for the industrial age. At the same time, a growing body of research across disciplines suggests that these diverse challenges share a common characteristic: they are fundamentally relational in nature.

Perhaps the most significant educational development of the past two decades has therefore not been the emergence of any single new educational model, but the convergence of multiple fields towards a more holistic understanding of what it means for human beings to flourish. Developmental psychology has deepened our understanding of the importance of early relationships and secure attachment in shaping lifelong wellbeing (Bowlby, 1969; Bronfenbrenner, 1979; Narvaez, 2014). Neuroscience has demonstrated the inseparability of emotion, cognition and social connection in learning (Siegel, 2012; Immordino-Yang, 2016). Systems science has challenged mechanistic models of organisation in favour of living systems characterised by interdependence, emergence and adaptation (Bateson, 1972; Capra and Luisi, 2014; Meadows, 2008). Ecological thought has similarly revealed humanity's profound embeddedness within the wider communities of life (Abram, 1996; Kimmerer, 2013). Meanwhile, flourishing science has moved beyond narrow conceptions of subjective wellbeing to explore the multidimensional conditions that enable individuals and communities to thrive across the lifespan (Seligman, 2011; VanderWeele, 2017; Global Flourishing Study, 2025).

This convergence is increasingly reflected within international educational policy. UNESCO's landmark report *Reimagining Our Futures Together* (2021) calls for a new social contract for education grounded in cooperation, ecological responsibility and human solidarity. The OECD Learning Compass 2030 similarly reframes education around learner agency, co-agency and the capacity to contribute to individual,

community and planetary wellbeing. The International Baccalaureate has strengthened its emphasis on international mindedness, ethical responsibility and intercultural understanding, while the Harvard Human Flourishing Program and the Global Flourishing Study have provided an increasingly rigorous empirical foundation for understanding flourishing as a multidimensional phenomenon extending far beyond academic success or economic productivity.

Alongside these international developments, a diverse range of educational movements has emerged that seeks to respond to these changing understandings of human development. Values-Based Education has demonstrated the transformative influence of shared values on school culture and learner wellbeing (Hawkes, 2001, 2021). Character education has renewed interest in the cultivation of virtues and practical wisdom (Kristjánsson, 2020). Positive Education has integrated insights from positive psychology into educational practice (Seligman et al., 2009), while social and emotional learning, regenerative education, Indigenous pedagogies and relational approaches to teaching have each contributed important insights into how learning is shaped through relationships rather than through the transmission of information alone.

These developments are often presented as distinct or competing educational innovations. This paper argues, however, that they are more fruitfully understood as complementary expressions of a deeper transformation in educational thought. While differing in emphasis and methodology, each reflects a growing recognition that human flourishing emerges not primarily from the acquisition of knowledge, but from the quality of relationships that individuals cultivate with themselves, with one another, with the natural world and with the wider systems of which they are a part.
From this perspective, values are not simply behavioural norms or desirable personal characteristics. They are relational capacities that shape how individuals participate within living systems. Education therefore becomes more than preparation for employment or the accumulation of knowledge. It becomes the lifelong cultivation of the capacities required to participate wisely, creatively and compassionately in an interconnected world.

The central proposition of this paper is that the accumulated evidence no longer supports fragmented approaches to educational reform. Rather, it points towards the emergence of an ecosystemic paradigm of education in which values, relationships, wellbeing, ecological responsibility, human development and flourishing are understood as interconnected dimensions of a single living system. Within this wider transition, values-based education represents an essential foundation, but not the endpoint. Instead, it forms part of a broader movement towards understanding education itself as a living ecosystem that cultivates the conditions in which both people and the wider communities of life can flourish together.

The paper reviews the growing evidence supporting this transition and proposes the Ecosystemic Flourishing (ESF) Framework as an integrating architecture capable of bringing these diverse strands of research into a coherent and practically applicable understanding of education for the twenty-first century. In doing so, it suggests that the

future of education lies not in choosing between existing educational movements, but in recognising the deeper pattern that unites them.

2. The Foundations of Flourishing: Why Early Human Development Matters

If education is to become genuinely oriented towards human flourishing, it must begin with an understanding of how flourishing develops. While educational debates often focus on curriculum, pedagogy or assessment, a substantial body of evidence from developmental psychology, neuroscience, attachment theory and public health demonstrates that the foundations of lifelong flourishing are established long before formal schooling begins. Education, therefore, cannot be understood simply as the transmission of knowledge within schools. Rather, it is part of a much broader developmental ecosystem in which families, communities, culture and the natural environment together shape the capacities through which individuals come to know themselves, relate to others and participate in society.

This understanding is not entirely new. More than two centuries ago, Johann Heinrich Pestalozzi argued that education should nurture the "head, heart and hands" as an integrated whole, recognising that intellectual development could not be separated from emotional security, practical activity and loving relationships (Pestalozzi, 1801/1898). His work profoundly influenced Friedrich Froebel, whose invention of the kindergarten reflected the conviction that play, creativity, nature and social participation were not peripheral to learning but fundamental expressions of healthy child development (Froebel, 1887). Together, these early educational reformers challenged the prevailing view of children as passive recipients of instruction and instead recognised education as the cultivation of the whole person.

These ideas were developed further during the twentieth century by several pioneering educational thinkers whose work continues to influence educational practice worldwide. Although emerging from different philosophical traditions, Maria Montessori, Rudolf Steiner and the educators of Reggio Emilia all shared the conviction that children possess intrinsic capacities for growth and that education should create environments in which these capacities can unfold naturally through meaningful relationships and purposeful engagement.

Maria Montessori argued that children possess an innate developmental drive and that education should support rather than constrain this natural unfolding. Her concept of the *prepared environment* recognised that carefully designed physical, social and cultural surroundings enable children to develop independence, concentration, self-discipline and intrinsic motivation (Montessori, 1912; Montessori, 1949). Rather than viewing education as the transmission of information, Montessori understood learning as an active process through which children construct understanding through exploration, movement and purposeful interaction with their environment.

Rudolf Steiner similarly placed child development at the centre of educational practice. Waldorf education sought to cultivate intellectual, emotional, physical, artistic and spiritual dimensions of human development through rich experiences of storytelling, movement, music, practical activity, imagination and deep engagement

with the natural world (Steiner, 1924). Steiner proposed that education should work with, rather than against, the developmental rhythms of childhood, recognising that different capacities emerge at different stages of life and require educational approaches appropriate to each phase.

The Reggio Emilia approach, developed by Loris Malaguzzi in post-war Italy, likewise challenged mechanistic conceptions of education by presenting children as competent, creative and relational participants in their own learning. Knowledge emerges through dialogue, inquiry, collaboration and shared exploration rather than through predetermined instruction. Its well-known concept of the environment as the "third teacher" reflects a sophisticated understanding that learning is profoundly influenced by the relationships between children, adults, places, materials and the wider community (Edwards, Gandini and Forman, 2012).

Although differing in philosophy and practice, these educational traditions share several principles that contemporary research increasingly supports. Each understands learning as fundamentally relational rather than transactional. Each recognises the formative importance of the environment. Each values curiosity, imagination, play, creativity and intrinsic motivation. Each views children as active participants in their own development rather than passive recipients of knowledge. Most importantly, each understands education as the cultivation of the whole human being rather than simply the acquisition of academic competencies.

Many of these educational insights have since been confirmed by advances across developmental science.

John Bowlby's attachment theory fundamentally transformed our understanding of early human development by demonstrating that secure emotional relationships provide the foundation upon which emotional regulation, resilience, curiosity and healthy social relationships are built (Bowlby, 1969). Mary Ainsworth's observational research subsequently confirmed that securely attached children are more confident in exploring unfamiliar environments, more resilient when encountering challenges and more capable of developing trusting relationships throughout life (Ainsworth et al., 1978). Exploration, creativity and learning therefore emerge most readily from relationships characterised by emotional security, responsiveness and trust.

Urie Bronfenbrenner extended this understanding through his ecological systems theory, arguing that child development is shaped by multiple interacting environments rather than by individual characteristics alone (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Families, schools, neighbourhoods, cultural traditions, economic conditions and public policy together form a dynamic developmental ecology within which human potential either flourishes or is constrained. Educational outcomes, therefore, cannot be understood independently of the wider systems within which learning takes place.

Advances in developmental neuroscience have reinforced these conclusions. Daniel Siegel's work demonstrates that the architecture of the developing brain is profoundly influenced by interpersonal experience and the quality of early relationships (Siegel, 2012). Mary Helen Immordino-Yang similarly demonstrates that emotion is not an

obstacle to learning but an essential component of how meaning, memory, motivation and identity are formed (Immordino-Yang, 2016). Contemporary neuroscience increasingly supports what many pioneering educators long intuited: cognition, emotion, embodiment and relationship are inseparable dimensions of human learning.

Research into moral development likewise points towards the centrality of early relational experience. Drawing upon developmental neuroscience, anthropology and evolutionary psychology, Darcia Narvaez (2014) argues that empathy, compassion, ethical reasoning and prosocial behaviour emerge through what she describes as the *evolved developmental niche* - a constellation of caregiving practices including responsive parenting, affectionate touch, free play, social support, opportunities for exploration and participation within caring communities. Michael Tomasello's research similarly suggests that cooperation, shared intentionality and mutual understanding are defining characteristics of human evolution rather than secondary cultural achievements (Tomasello, 2016).

Perhaps the strongest evidence for the enduring significance of early development comes from public health. The landmark Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) studies demonstrated that childhood trauma has profound lifelong consequences, influencing not only mental health but also physical health, educational attainment, employment, social relationships and life expectancy (Felitti et al., 1998). Subsequent international meta-analyses have consistently confirmed these findings (Hughes et al., 2017). Conversely, secure relationships, emotionally supportive environments and positive early experiences are associated with greater resilience, improved educational outcomes, enhanced wellbeing and healthier lives across the lifespan.

Emerging evidence also suggests that flourishing depends not only upon healthy social relationships but upon healthy ecological relationships. Research into the human microbiome and biodiversity has demonstrated that early engagement with diverse natural environments influences immune development, psychological wellbeing and long-term health (Prescott and Logan, 2017). These findings reinforce broader ecological perspectives that understand human wellbeing and planetary wellbeing as fundamentally interconnected rather than separate domains.

Taken together, this remarkably diverse body of evidence converges around a common conclusion. The capacities upon which flourishing depends - including trust, empathy, curiosity, resilience, imagination, moral judgement, creativity, collaboration and agency - are not primarily acquired through formal instruction. They emerge through the quality of relationships that surround the developing child across families, communities, educational settings and the wider living world.

For education, the implications are profound. If flourishing is rooted in developmental ecosystems rather than isolated educational interventions, then investment in early childhood, family wellbeing, community relationships, healthy environments and high-quality early years education should no longer be regarded as peripheral to educational success but as foundational to it. Schools remain critically important, but they are most effective when understood as participants within a wider ecology of human development rather than as institutions operating in isolation.

For values-based education, these findings are equally significant. Values cannot simply be taught as abstract concepts or behavioural expectations. They are first encountered through lived experience. Compassion is experienced before it is defined. Trust is established before it is articulated. Responsibility develops through participation before it becomes a moral principle. The earliest relationships of childhood therefore provide the developmental soil from which later ethical understanding, civic participation and lifelong flourishing grow.

From an ecosystemic perspective, these findings extend still further. They suggest that flourishing is not an attribute possessed by individuals alone but an emergent property of healthy relationships operating across multiple levels of living systems. The same relational principles that nurture secure attachment within families also shape classrooms, schools, communities, societies and humanity's relationship with the natural world. Education, therefore, is most fruitfully understood not as a process of knowledge transmission but as the ongoing cultivation of the relational conditions through which human potential can unfold throughout life.

This insight provides the bridge to the next section. The growing international movement towards values-based education, flourishing education, character education, social and emotional learning, regenerative education and relational pedagogies should not be understood as a collection of competing innovations. Rather, they represent complementary expressions of an emerging ecosystemic understanding of education - one increasingly supported by evidence across the biological, psychological, social and ecological sciences.

3. The International Movement Towards Flourishing

The growing recognition that education should nurture the whole human being rather than focus solely upon academic attainment represents one of the most significant shifts in educational thinking during the twenty-first century. Although expressed through different philosophical traditions and policy frameworks, an increasing number of international organisations, educational movements and research programmes have begun to converge around a shared understanding: that the ultimate purpose of education is not simply to produce knowledgeable individuals, but to cultivate the capacities that enable people, communities and the wider living world to flourish together.

This shift has emerged from many different directions. Educational philosophy has questioned increasingly instrumental conceptions of schooling. Developmental science has illuminated the lifelong importance of relationships and emotional development. Public health has highlighted the social determinants of wellbeing. Environmental science has demonstrated humanity's profound interdependence with ecological systems. Meanwhile, flourishing research has broadened our understanding of what constitutes a life well lived. Although these traditions employ different languages and methodologies, they increasingly point towards remarkably similar educational principles.

Perhaps the clearest expression of this transition can be found in UNESCO's landmark report *Reimagining Our Futures Together* (UNESCO, 2021). Rather than advocating incremental educational reform, the report calls for a new social contract for education grounded in cooperation, solidarity, ecological responsibility and shared humanity. It argues that education should enable learners to become active participants in creating more just, peaceful and sustainable societies while recognising humanity's interconnected relationship with the wider living world. Importantly, UNESCO positions education not simply as preparation for economic participation but as a lifelong process of cultivating human agency, ethical responsibility and collective flourishing.

The OECD's *Learning Compass 2030* reflects a similar evolution. Moving beyond traditional measures of educational success, the Learning Compass places learner agency, co-agency and transformative competencies at the heart of education (OECD, 2019). Its emphasis upon creating new value, reconciling tensions and dilemmas, and taking responsibility represents a significant departure from educational models centred primarily upon knowledge acquisition. Instead, learning is increasingly understood as preparing young people to navigate complexity, uncertainty and interdependence within rapidly changing societies.

The International Baccalaureate has likewise broadened its educational vision through an explicit commitment to developing internationally minded learners who recognise their responsibilities towards both humanity and the planet (International Baccalaureate Organization, 2023). Attributes such as caring, principled action, open-mindedness, reflection and intercultural understanding increasingly occupy a central place within its learner profile, recognising that educational excellence encompasses ethical and relational capacities alongside academic achievement.

Perhaps the most significant empirical contribution to this emerging movement has come from the rapidly developing science of human flourishing. The Harvard Human Flourishing Program has brought together philosophy, psychology, epidemiology, economics, theology and public health to investigate the multidimensional conditions that enable individuals and societies to flourish across the lifespan (VanderWeele, 2017). Rather than reducing flourishing to happiness or subjective wellbeing, this work understands flourishing as encompassing health, meaning, purpose, relationships, character, virtue and contribution to the common good.

The Global Flourishing Study extends this work through one of the largest international research programmes ever undertaken on human flourishing. Spanning more than twenty countries and diverse cultural contexts, the study recognises flourishing as a multidimensional phenomenon that cannot be adequately understood through economic indicators or educational attainment alone (Global Flourishing Study, 2025). Its findings reinforce the importance of social relationships, purpose, community participation and ethical engagement as integral dimensions of human development.

These developments have also been accompanied by significant growth in educational movements that seek to cultivate the relational, emotional and ethical dimensions of learning. Positive Education has demonstrated the educational relevance of positive

psychology and wellbeing research (Seligman *et al.*, 2009). Character education has renewed attention to the development of virtues, practical wisdom and moral character, with scholars such as Kristján Kristjánsson providing important philosophical foundations rooted in Aristotelian ethics (Kristjánsson, 2015; 2020). Values-Based Education, pioneered internationally by Neil Hawkes, has shown how explicitly cultivating shared values can transform school culture, strengthen relationships and improve both educational outcomes and student wellbeing (Hawkes, 2001; 2021). At the same time, social and emotional learning, restorative practice, contemplative education and trauma-informed approaches have increasingly highlighted the importance of relationships, belonging and emotional security within educational environments.

Although these initiatives differ in emphasis, they should not be understood as competing educational models. Rather, each illuminates a different aspect of the same emerging picture. Values-Based Education demonstrates the importance of shared ethical cultures. Character education explores the cultivation of virtues and practical wisdom. Positive Education examines the psychological conditions associated with wellbeing. Social and emotional learning develops interpersonal competencies. Regenerative education reconnects learning with ecological systems. Indigenous educational traditions remind us that knowledge emerges through reciprocal relationships with community, place and the more-than-human world. Together, these approaches reveal education to be far richer than the transmission of information alone.

What is particularly striking is that these developments have emerged largely independently across multiple disciplines, institutions and cultural traditions. Rather than reflecting a single educational theory, they represent a broad international convergence around several shared principles. **Human beings develop through relationship.**

- Learning is social, emotional and embodied.
- Values are lived before they are articulated.
- Wellbeing and academic learning are mutually reinforcing rather than competing priorities.
- Human flourishing depends upon healthy communities and healthy ecosystems.

Education therefore cannot be fully understood through mechanistic models that separate learners from the relational contexts within which they develop.

At the same time, this convergence also reveals an important limitation. While each movement contributes valuable insights, most necessarily focuses upon one dimension of a much larger whole. Character education emphasises virtues; flourishing science explores multidimensional wellbeing; Values-Based Education focuses upon school culture; systems thinking examines relationships within living systems; regenerative education emphasises ecological participation; developmental science investigates the biological and relational foundations of learning. What has

remained largely absent is an integrating framework capable of understanding these diverse contributions as interconnected expressions of a single educational paradigm.

It is precisely this need for integration that gives rise to the ecosystemic perspective developed in this paper. Rather than replacing existing educational approaches, an ecosystemic understanding seeks to reveal the deeper relational architecture that connects them. From this perspective, flourishing is not simply another educational outcome. It is the emergent property of healthy relationships operating across the multiple nested systems through which human life develops - from families and classrooms to communities, cultures, economies and the living Earth itself.

The growing international movement towards flourishing therefore represents more than a series of educational innovations. It signals the emergence of a new understanding of education itself: one in which learning is recognised as a relational, developmental and ecological process whose ultimate purpose is to enable individuals and communities to participate wisely in the flourishing of the wider web of life.

4. From Educational Reform to an Ecosystemic Paradigm

The convergence described in the previous sections suggests that education is experiencing something more profound than the emergence of new pedagogical approaches. Rather than representing isolated innovations, developments such as Values-Based Education, flourishing science, character education, social and emotional learning, regenerative education, trauma-informed practice and relational pedagogy appear to be responding to a common underlying shift in our understanding of human development. Together, they challenge an educational paradigm that has largely understood learning as the acquisition of knowledge by autonomous individuals and instead point towards a more relational understanding of human flourishing.

This shift reflects a broader transition occurring across many disciplines. During much of the twentieth century, scientific inquiry was characterised by reductionist methods that sought to understand complex phenomena by analysing their constituent parts. This approach produced extraordinary advances in medicine, psychology and educational research, yet it also encouraged fragmented understandings of human development in which cognition, emotion, health, morality, community and ecology were frequently studied as separate domains. Increasingly, however, complexity science, systems thinking, ecology and developmental science suggest that many of these distinctions are artificial. Living systems cannot be fully understood by examining their individual components in isolation because their defining properties emerge through patterns of relationship (Bateson, 1972; Capra and Luisi, 2014; Meadows, 2008).

Education has often reflected the same reductionist assumptions. Knowledge has traditionally been divided into discrete subjects. Success has frequently been measured through individual performance. Schools have commonly been evaluated through standardised attainment, while broader developmental outcomes have been

regarded as secondary or external to educational purpose. Such approaches have undoubtedly achieved significant successes, yet they struggle to address challenges that are fundamentally relational: declining mental health, loneliness, ecological degradation, social polarisation, loss of meaning, cultural fragmentation and the ethical implications of rapidly advancing technologies.

What distinguishes these contemporary challenges is that none can be solved through technical knowledge alone. Climate change requires scientific understanding, but also cooperation, moral responsibility and long-term stewardship. Artificial intelligence requires technical competence, but equally demands ethical judgement, wisdom and discernment. Democratic societies depend not only upon informed citizens but upon trust, empathy, dialogue and civic participation. Human flourishing itself emerges not from isolated achievement but through the quality of relationships that individuals develop with themselves, with others and with the wider communities of life.

The evidence reviewed throughout this paper suggests that these relational capacities are neither optional additions to education nor desirable "soft skills". They are foundational conditions that enable every other aspect of human development. Secure attachment supports exploration. Belonging strengthens motivation. Emotional regulation enhances learning. Curiosity stimulates creativity. Trust enables collaboration. Moral imagination underpins responsible action. Far from competing with academic excellence, these capacities create the conditions through which intellectual development is most likely to occur.

This understanding also invites a reconsideration of the role of values within education. Values have often been presented as behavioural expectations or ethical principles to be taught alongside the formal curriculum. Yet the evidence from developmental psychology, neuroscience and educational research suggests a more fundamental role. Values are lived before they are articulated. Children first encounter compassion through compassionate relationships, responsibility through meaningful participation, respect through reciprocal interactions and justice through experiencing fairness. Values therefore function not simply as abstract ideals but as relational patterns that shape the quality of interactions within families, classrooms, schools and communities.

From this perspective, educational culture becomes at least as significant as educational content. The hidden curriculum—the relationships, assumptions, norms and lived experiences that characterise daily life within educational settings—may ultimately influence human development more profoundly than formal programmes of study. Neil Hawkes' work on Values-Based Education has consistently demonstrated that when schools intentionally cultivate cultures of trust, respect, compassion and responsibility, improvements occur not only in behaviour and wellbeing but also in learning, relationships and community engagement (Hawkes, 2001; 2021). Similar findings have emerged across restorative practice, trauma-informed education and whole-school approaches to wellbeing, suggesting that flourishing depends upon the quality of the educational ecosystem rather than isolated interventions.

The ecosystemic perspective extends this insight beyond the boundaries of individual schools. Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory reminds us that educational settings exist within wider relational systems comprising families, communities, cultural narratives, economic conditions and ecological realities (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Schools cannot flourish independently of these wider contexts, nor can they compensate entirely for their absence. Educational transformation therefore requires attention not only to pedagogy but also to the wider social and ecological conditions that enable human development.

Increasingly, ecological scholarship also challenges the assumption that education concerns relationships only between human beings. Writers such as David Abram (1996; 2010), Robin Wall Kimmerer (2013) and Arturo Escobar (2018) argue that human identity and wellbeing emerge through reciprocal participation within the living world itself. Indigenous knowledge traditions have long understood education as learning how to live well within relationships that include ancestors, future generations, place, non-human life and the wider Earth community. Contemporary ecological science increasingly supports this relational understanding, demonstrating that human health, biodiversity, climate stability and community resilience are deeply interconnected.

These developments suggest that flourishing should not be understood as an individual achievement but as an emergent property of healthy living systems. Individuals flourish within flourishing families. Families flourish within flourishing communities. Communities flourish within flourishing cultures. Human societies ultimately flourish within flourishing ecosystems. At every level, the quality of relationships shapes the health of the whole.

This insight represents the central proposition of an ecosystemic paradigm of education. Education is not simply the process through which individuals acquire knowledge, nor even the cultivation of personal wellbeing or character. It is the intentional creation of the relational conditions through which human capacities can develop in ways that contribute simultaneously to personal fulfilment, social cohesion and ecological regeneration.

It is here that the Ecosystemic Flourishing (ESF) Framework makes its principal contribution. Rather than replacing existing approaches, ESF seeks to integrate them within a single relational architecture. It begins from the premise that flourishing is an emergent property of healthy relationships operating across interconnected living systems. Consequently, educational success cannot be understood solely through academic outcomes, nor even through individual wellbeing, but through the quality of relationships that enable individuals, communities and ecosystems to flourish together. In this sense, ESF is not another educational initiative but a framework for understanding how the growing body of evidence reviewed throughout this paper can be brought into a coherent and practically applicable vision of education for the twenty-first century.

5. Conclusion: Towards an Ecosystemic Paradigm of Education

Over the past century, educational research has generated an extraordinary body of knowledge concerning how human beings learn, develop and flourish. Developmental psychology has illuminated the formative importance of early relationships. Neuroscience has demonstrated the inseparability of emotion, cognition and social connection. Public health has revealed the lifelong significance of early experience. Systems science and ecology have transformed our understanding of living systems, while flourishing research has broadened educational purpose beyond academic attainment towards the conditions that enable individuals and communities to thrive. At the same time, educational innovators- from Montessori, Steiner and Reggio Emilia to contemporary movements in Values-Based Education, character education, Positive Education and regenerative learning - have continued to demonstrate that education is fundamentally about the cultivation of human potential through meaningful relationships.

Individually, each of these traditions has contributed important insights. Collectively, however, they suggest something far more significant. Across disciplines that have developed largely independently, there is growing convergence around a common understanding of education as a relational, developmental and ecological process. Learning emerges through relationships. Human capacities develop within living systems. Flourishing depends upon the quality of interactions between individuals, communities and the wider world. Education therefore cannot be understood solely as the transmission of knowledge, nor even as the development of individual wellbeing. It is increasingly recognised as the cultivation of the conditions through which both people and the communities of life to which they belong can flourish together.

This convergence also challenges some of the underlying assumptions that have shaped educational policy for much of the modern era. Industrial models of education were remarkably successful in expanding access to knowledge and supporting economic development. Yet they were largely designed for a world in which certainty, standardisation and specialisation were dominant organising principles. The twenty-first century presents profoundly different conditions. Rapid technological change, ecological instability, increasing cultural diversity, growing mental health concerns and unprecedented global interdependence require capacities that extend beyond knowledge acquisition alone. They call for wisdom alongside information, collaboration alongside competition, ethical discernment alongside technical competence, and the ability to navigate complexity with creativity, compassion and resilience.

The evidence reviewed in this paper suggests that these capacities do not emerge through isolated educational interventions. They develop through participation in healthy developmental ecosystems. Secure relationships, emotionally supportive environments, opportunities for meaningful contribution, engagement with the natural world and educational cultures grounded in shared values together create the conditions within which curiosity, agency, empathy, creativity and responsibility can flourish. Education therefore becomes less concerned with delivering predetermined outcomes and more concerned with cultivating the relational conditions through which human potential can unfold throughout life.

It is within this wider context that the Ecosystemic Flourishing (ESF) Framework is offered. Rather than presenting another educational initiative or competing pedagogical model, ESF seeks to provide an integrating architecture capable of bringing together the diverse strands of evidence reviewed throughout this paper. It recognises that flourishing emerges through relationships operating across interconnected systems and that educational transformation therefore requires attention to the whole ecology of learning: from early childhood and family life to schools, communities, cultural narratives, economies and the natural environment. Values, from this perspective, are not additional components of education **but the relational qualities that enable healthy participation within these living systems.**

The implications for educational policy and practice are considerable. If flourishing is understood as an emergent property of healthy educational ecosystems, then investment in early childhood, family support, teacher development, community partnership, learner agency, values-rich school cultures and meaningful engagement with the natural world should no longer be regarded as complementary initiatives but as central components of educational success. Likewise, assessment systems may need to evolve beyond narrow measures of academic performance towards broader understandings of human capability, contribution and lifelong flourishing.

The implications for teacher education are equally significant. Teachers are not simply transmitters of curriculum but architects of developmental environments. Their capacity to establish trust, foster belonging, model ethical relationships and cultivate cultures of curiosity and participation becomes fundamental to educational quality. This understanding elevates the role of educators from instructors to stewards of living learning communities, recognising that the character of educational relationships may ultimately influence learners as profoundly as the content of lessons themselves.

More broadly, this ecosystemic perspective invites a renewed understanding of the purpose of education itself. Rather than preparing young people merely to succeed within existing social and economic systems, education becomes the means through which successive generations learn to participate wisely in the ongoing flourishing of humanity and the wider Earth community. Knowledge remains essential, but knowledge alone is insufficient. The challenges of the twenty-first century demand citizens capable of integrating scientific understanding with ethical judgement, technical competence with compassion, innovation with responsibility and personal fulfilment with care for the common good.

Perhaps the most important conclusion emerging from this review is that the movement towards ecosystemic education is already underway. It can be seen in the growing emphasis on flourishing within international policy, in renewed attention to early childhood and relational development, in expanding recognition of Indigenous and ecological ways of knowing, and in educational practices that seek to cultivate whole-person development rather than narrow academic performance. These developments have arisen from diverse disciplines, cultures and educational traditions, yet they increasingly converge around a common insight: that human flourishing is fundamentally relational.

Seen in this light, the transition towards ecosystemic education should not be understood as another educational reform. It represents a gradual evolution in our understanding of what education has always been at its best: **the shared human endeavour of creating the conditions in which every person can develop their unique capacities while contributing to the flourishing of others and the wider living world.** The evidence increasingly suggests that this is not simply an aspirational vision. It is an educational paradigm whose time has come.

References

1. Abram, D. (1996) *The Spell of the Sensuous: Perception and Language in a More-Than-Human World*. New York: Pantheon Books.
2. Abram, D. (2010) *Becoming Animal: An Earthly Cosmology*. New York: Pantheon Books.
3. Ainsworth, M.D.S., Blehar, M.C., Waters, E. and Wall, S. (1978) *Patterns of Attachment: A Psychological Study of the Strange Situation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
4. Barnett, R. (2018) *The Ecological University: A Feasible Utopia*. London: Routledge.
5. Bateson, G. (1972) *Steps to an Ecology of Mind*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
6. Biesta, G. (2022) *World-Centred Education: A View for the Present*. London: Routledge.
7. Bowlby, J. (1969) *Attachment and Loss. Volume 1: Attachment*. London: Penguin.
8. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979) *The Ecology of Human Development: Experiments by Nature and Design*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
9. Bruner, J. (1996) *The Culture of Education*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
10. Cajete, G. (1994) *Look to the Mountain: An Ecology of Indigenous Education*. Durango, CO: Kivakí Press.
11. Capra, F. and Luisi, P.L. (2014) *The Systems View of Life: A Unifying Vision*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
12. Dewey, J. (1938) *Experience and Education*. New York: Macmillan. (Experiential and democratic education.)
13. Edwards, C., Gandini, L. and Forman, G. (eds.) (2012) *The Hundred Languages of Children: The Reggio Emilia Experience in Transformation*. 3rd edn. Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger.
14. Ellyatt, W. (2022). Education for Human Flourishing: A New Conceptual Framework for Promoting Ecosystemic Wellbeing in Schools. *Challenges*, *13*, 58.
15. Ellyatt, W. (2022). Relational Intelligence: Exploring Children's Spirituality and the Pattern Language of Beauty, Flow and Love. Flourish Project: Cheltenham, UK.
16. Ellyatt, W. (2024). Nurturing Young Children as Spiritual Beings in a Globalised World. In *Sustainability, Spirituality and Early Childhood*. Bloomsbury Academic: London, UK.

17. Ellyatt, W. (2024). Optimising Worldviews for a Flourishing Planet: Exploring the Principle of Right Relationship. *Challenges*, *15*, 42.
18. Ellyatt, W. (2025). Eco-Systemic Flourishing: Expanding the Meta-Framework for 21st-Century Education. *Challenges*, *16*, 21.
19. Ellyatt, W. (2025). Introducing the Eco-Systemic Flourishing (ESF) Framework. Flourish Project C.I.C.: Cheltenham, UK.
20. Ellyatt, W. (2025). Toward a Unitive Narrative and Worldview: An Integrative Response to the Global Meta-Crisis. *J. Frontier Science. Res. Environ. Earth Sci.*
21. Ellyatt, W. (2025). Worldviews Study: Year Two White Paper. Galileo Commission: London, UK.
22. Escobar, A. (2018) *Designs for the Pluriverse: Radical Interdependence, Autonomy, and the Making of Worlds*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
23. Felitti, V.J., Anda, R.F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D.F., Spitz, A.M., Edwards, V., Koss, M.P. and Marks, J.S. (1998) 'Relationship of Childhood Abuse and Household Dysfunction to Many of the Leading Causes of Death in Adults', *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 14(4), pp. 245–258.
24. Froebel, F. (1887) *The Education of Man*. New York: D. Appleton and Company. (Originally published 1826.)
25. Global Flourishing Study (2025) *Global Flourishing Study: Initial Findings*. Cambridge, MA: Human Flourishing Program, Harvard University; Baylor University; Gallup; Centre for Open Science.
26. Hawkes, N. (2001) *Values and the Whole Curriculum*. Carmarthen: Values-based Education.
27. Hawkes, N. (2021) *Values-based Education for the 21st Century*. Carmarthen: Values-based Education.
28. Hughes, K., Bellis, M.A., Hardcastle, K.A., Sethi, D., Butchart, A., Mikton, C., Jones, L. and Dunne, M.P. (2017) 'The Effect of Multiple Adverse Childhood Experiences on Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis', *The Lancet Public Health*, 2(8), e356–e366.
29. Immordino-Yang, M.H. (2016) *Emotions, Learning, and the Brain: Exploring the Educational Implications of Affective Neuroscience*. New York: W.W. Norton.
30. International Baccalaureate Organization (2023) *What is an IB Education?* Geneva:
31. Kimmerer, R.W. (2013) *Braiding Sweetgrass: Indigenous Wisdom, Scientific Knowledge and the Teachings of Plants*. Minneapolis: Milkweed Editions.
32. Kristjánsson, K. (2015) *Aristotelian Character Education*. London: Routledge.
33. Kristjánsson, K. (2020) *The Flourishing Teacher*. London: Routledge.
34. Malaguzzi, L. (1998) History, Ideas, and Basic Philosophy in Edwards, C., Gandini, L. and Forman, G. (eds.) *The Hundred Languages of Children: The Reggio Emilia Approach—Advanced Reflections*. 2nd edn. Greenwich, CT: Ablex Publishing, pp. 49–97.
35. Maturana, H.R. and Varela, F.J. (1987) *The Tree of Knowledge: The Biological Roots of Human Understanding*. Boston: Shambhala.
36. Meadows, D.H. (2008) *Thinking in Systems: A Primer*. White River Junction, VT: Chelsea Green Publishing.
37. Montessori, M. (1912) *The Montessori Method*. New York: Frederick A. Stokes.
38. Montessori, M. (1949) *The Absorbent Mind*. Madras: Theosophical Publishing House.

39. Narvaez, D. (2014) *Neurobiology and the Development of Human Morality: Evolution, Culture and Wisdom*. New York: W.W. Norton.
40. Noddings, N. (2013) *Caring: A Relational Approach to Ethics and Moral Education*. 2nd edn. Berkeley: University of California Press. (A strong foundation for the relational ethic running through the paper.)
41. OECD (2019) *OECD Learning Compass 2030: A Series of Concept Notes*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
42. Palmer, P.J. (1998) *The Courage to Teach*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. (The inner life of teachers and educational culture.)
43. Pestalozzi, J.H. (1898) *How Gertrude Teaches Her Children*. Syracuse, NY: C.W. Bardeen. (Originally published 1801.)
44. Prescott, S.L. and Logan, A.C. (2017) *The Secret Life of Your Microbiome: Why Nature and Biodiversity Are Essential to Health and Happiness*. Novato, CA: New World Library.
45. Seligman, M.E.P. (2011) *Flourish*. New York: Free Press.
46. Seligman, M.E.P., Ernst, R.M., Gillham, J., Reivich, K. and Linkins, M. (2009) 'Positive Education: Positive Psychology and Classroom Interventions', *Oxford Review of Education*, 35(3), pp. 293–311.
47. Siegel, D.J. (2012) *The Developing Mind: How Relationships and the Brain Interact to Shape Who We Are*. 2nd edn. New York: Guilford Press.
48. Steiner, R. (2000) *The Kingdom of Childhood*. London: Rudolf Steiner Press. (Based on lectures first delivered in 1924.)
49. Tomasello, M. (2016) *A Natural History of Human Morality*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
50. UNESCO (2021) *Reimagining Our Futures Together: A New Social Contract for Education*. Paris: UNESCO.
51. VanderWeele, T.J. (2017) 'On the Promotion of Human Flourishing', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(31), pp. 8148–8156.
52. Whitehead, A.N. (1978) *Process and Reality*. Corrected edn. New York: Free Press.

The Flourish Project is a global initiative dedicated to advancing the Ecosystemic Flourishing (ESF) Framework - an integrative approach to wellbeing that recognises the deep interdependence between human development, cultural values, regenerative economies, and the natural world. Rooted in systems thinking, relational practice, and emerging research on flourishing, the project seeks to support the development of compassionate, resilient, and ecologically conscious individuals and communities through education, policy innovation, research, and cross-sector collaboration.

A global multidimensional framework designed to complement GDP through the measurement of equitable, inclusive, and sustainable wellbeing across present and future generations. The framework integrates wellbeing, inclusion, sustainability, resilience, institutional quality, and environmental conditions into a unified dashboard architecture for national and international policy application.