Beyond East and West: Ecosystemic Flourishing and the Emergence of a Multipolar World

Reimagining Global Development for the Twenty-First Century

Wendy Ellyatt, July 2026

Abstract

The emergence of BRICS+ reflects far more than a redistribution of economic power. It signals a wider transition in the narratives, institutions and worldviews that have shaped global development over the past two centuries. While most analyses focus upon geopolitics, finance and international trade, this paper argues that the deeper challenge facing humanity is civilisational rather than geopolitical. The ecological, social and psychological crises confronting the world cannot be resolved simply by transferring influence from one bloc to another if the underlying worldview remains one of separation, extraction and domination. Drawing upon Indigenous knowledge systems, contemporary systems science, human flourishing research and the Ecosystemic Flourishing (ESF) Framework, this paper proposes an alternative architecture for global development grounded in relationality, reciprocity and participation within living systems. Rather than advocating either Western or Eastern models of progress, it argues for a flourishing paradigm capable of integrating diverse cultural traditions while remaining rooted in universal principles of life. BRICS+ is explored not as a geopolitical endpoint but as one possible catalyst within a broader civilisational transition towards a pluriversal, regenerative and relational future.

1. Humanity at a Civilisational Threshold

The first quarter of the twenty-first century has revealed an increasingly uncomfortable truth: humanity's greatest challenges are no longer isolated problems awaiting technical solutions. Climate instability, biodiversity collapse, declining mental health, widening inequality, democratic fragility, accelerating artificial intelligence, geopolitical fragmentation and the erosion of social trust are increasingly recognised as interconnected expressions of a deeper systemic condition.

Much contemporary policy continues to address these crises individually. New technologies are proposed to solve climate change. Economic reforms seek to reduce

inequality. Educational innovation attempts to prepare learners for an uncertain future. International diplomacy seeks to reduce conflict between competing powers. Yet despite considerable progress in each of these domains, the underlying trajectory remains deeply concerning. This suggests that the root of our predicament lies not primarily within any individual sector but within the assumptions that organise our civilisation itself.

Increasingly, scholars from diverse disciplines argue that humanity is experiencing a profound transition in worldview. The prevailing assumptions inherited from industrial modernity - including the separation of humanity from nature, the primacy of economic growth, mechanistic conceptions of progress and the reduction of value to measurable outputs - are proving increasingly incapable of addressing the complexity of living systems. The challenge before us is therefore not merely institutional reform but a transformation in how humanity understands its relationship with life itself.

The defining question of the twenty-first century is consequently not who governs the world, but which worldview governs human development.

2. Beyond a Unipolar World

Within this wider context, the emergence of BRICS+ represents one of the most significant geopolitical developments of recent decades. Originally established by Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa, the alliance has expanded considerably and now represents a substantial proportion of the world's population, economic activity and natural resources.

For many observers, BRICS+ reflects a gradual movement away from the largely Western-led international order established following the Second World War. Existing institutions - including the International Monetary Fund, World Bank and other global governance structures - are increasingly viewed by many nations as insufficiently representative of today's multipolar realities. Such developments inevitably carry profound political implications. Yet the deeper significance of BRICS+ may lie elsewhere.

Its emergence raises a more fundamental question concerning the future direction of civilisation itself. Will the redistribution of global influence simply reproduce the same extractive assumptions under different leadership? Or might it create space for fundamentally different ways of organising relationships between humanity, society and the living Earth?

The answer remains uncertain. History repeatedly demonstrates that changing centres of power does not necessarily transform the underlying assumptions through which power is exercised.

A multipolar world may therefore become either

- More cooperative or more competitive.

- More regenerative or more extractive.
- More relational or more fragmented.

The determining factor will not be geography but worldview.

3. Why Geopolitical Change Is Not Enough

Throughout history, dominant civilisations have frequently mistaken changes in political authority for deeper social transformation.

- Empires rise and decline.
- Economic systems evolve.
- Institutions are reformed.
- Technologies advance.

Yet many of the underlying assumptions remain remarkably stable.

Modern industrial civilisation continues to define progress largely through economic expansion, resource extraction, technological acceleration and increasing material consumption. Although these processes have generated extraordinary achievements in health, education and scientific understanding, they have also contributed to unprecedented ecological degradation and widespread social fragmentation.

The challenge therefore extends beyond capitalism or socialism, East or West, North or South. It concerns the deeper logic through which societies understand success itself. If development continues to be organised around competition, domination and extraction, ecological decline will simply continue under different political arrangements. Replacing one dominant bloc with another cannot by itself resolve a worldview rooted in separation.

Civilisational transformation requires something deeper: a reorientation towards relationship.

4. Rediscovering the Kinship Worldview

Across much of the world, Indigenous knowledge systems preserve an understanding of reality remarkably different from that which dominates industrial modernity. Despite extraordinary cultural diversity, many Indigenous traditions share common principles.

- Human beings are participants within living systems rather than masters over them.
- Nature is understood not as property but as community.
- Knowledge emerges through relationship rather than detached observation.

- Reciprocity replaces exploitation.
- Responsibility precedes entitlement.
- Identity is fundamentally relational.

The recent work of Four Arrows and colleagues on the Kinship Worldview develops these themes in the context of global sustainability. They argue that ecological collapse cannot be understood simply as a technological or policy failure. Rather, it reflects the replacement of ancient relational understandings by worldviews organised around commodification, extraction and hierarchy. The chapter proposes that sustainability requires recovering humanity's primordial understanding of kinship with the more-than-human world.

Similar principles appear across many traditions.

- Ubuntu reminds us that a person becomes fully human through relationship with others.
- Buen Vivir situates wellbeing within reciprocal relationships between community and nature.
- Daoist philosophy emphasises harmony with the dynamic processes of life.
- Indian traditions of Dharma frame human flourishing within larger patterns of responsibility and cosmic order.
- Māori concepts of whakapapa recognise genealogical relationships extending across human and ecological communities.

Rather than representing isolated cultural perspectives, these traditions collectively suggest the existence of a relational grammar underlying flourishing societies.

5. Ecosystemic Flourishing as an Integrating Architecture

While Indigenous traditions offer profound insights, contemporary societies also possess important contributions arising from systems science, ecology, developmental psychology, neuroscience, complexity theory and human flourishing research.

The challenge is therefore not to replace one worldview with another. Nor is it to romanticise either Indigenous or modern knowledge. The challenge is both remembering and integration. Humanity is not simply being asked to invent a new civilisation, but to remember ancient relational capacities while integrating them with the best insights of contemporary science, systems thinking and human development. Flourishing therefore depends neither upon returning nostalgically to the past nor upon accelerating existing models of progress, but upon weaving humanity's oldest wisdom with its newest understanding into a living architecture for the future.

This is the central contribution of the Ecosystemic Flourishing (ESF) Framework. Rather than functioning as another ideological programme, ESF provides an organising architecture through which multiple ways of knowing can interact constructively.

Its starting point is deceptively simple. Living systems flourish through the quality of their relationships. This principle applies equally to ecosystems, communities, organisations, economies and individuals.

Flourishing therefore cannot be reduced to economic output, psychological wellbeing or environmental protection in isolation. Instead it emerges through dynamic participation across four interconnected domains:

- the natural environment;
- circular and regenerative economies;
- cultural values and identity;
- human capacities and potential.

These domains continually influence one another through reciprocal feedback processes. Development consequently becomes less about maximising isolated variables and more about cultivating healthy relationships across the whole.

Such an approach resonates strongly with Indigenous relational ontologies while recognising the contribution of contemporary systems science. It therefore provides a common language that supports dialogue across cultures without requiring uniformity.

6. From Development to Flourishing

The concept of development has traditionally been associated with industrialisation, economic expansion and technological advancement. While these remain important, they are increasingly insufficient as measures of societal success.

Flourishing offers a richer alternative.

A flourishing society is one in which ecological integrity, social cohesion, cultural vitality, psychological wellbeing, moral development, economic resilience and spiritual meaning reinforce one another. Success becomes measured not only by what societies produce but by the quality of relationships they cultivate.

This represents a profound shift.

- Growth becomes regenerative rather than extractive.
- Education cultivates wisdom alongside knowledge.
- Economics serves life rather than subordinating life to economics.

- Governance becomes stewardship rather than administration.
- Artificial intelligence becomes a participant within flourishing ecosystems rather than merely an instrument of productivity.
- Cities evolve into living communities rather than collections of infrastructure.

Such transformations require neither the abandonment of science nor the rejection of technological innovation. Rather, they require these capacities to be situated within a broader relational understanding of human purpose.

7. The Flourish Project as a Global Commons

Within this emerging landscape, the role of the Flourish Project is not to advocate for any particular geopolitical alliance. Its contribution is different. It seeks to develop the conceptual, educational and evaluative infrastructure necessary for societies to cultivate flourishing regardless of political orientation.

This includes the development of ecosystemic indicators of flourishing, educational frameworks that integrate multiple ways of knowing, relational approaches to governance, community-based models of learning, flourishing cities, intergenerational initiatives, and methodologies capable of evaluating progress beyond GDP alone.

Such work could contribute equally to initiatives emerging within BRICS+, the European Union, the African Union, ASEAN, the Commonwealth or the United Nations.

Its orientation is intentionally global. Flourishing is not a Western aspiration. Nor is it an Eastern one. It is a universal possibility emerging through locally grounded expressions of relationship.

8. Conclusion

The emergence of BRICS+ signals an important historical transition.

Whether that transition ultimately contributes to planetary flourishing, however, depends less upon shifts in geopolitical power than upon the worldviews through which that power is exercised. Humanity does not face a choice between East and West, capitalism and socialism, or North and South. The deeper question concerns the quality of relationship that underpins every economic, political and cultural system.

A flourishing civilisation will emerge not through the triumph of one geopolitical bloc over another, but through the restoration of humanity's capacity to participate wisely within the living systems of which it forms a part. In this sense, BRICS+ represents neither a destination nor a solution in itself. Rather, it illustrates a wider historical movement towards a more plural, interconnected and potentially relational world.

The task before us is therefore not simply to redistribute power. It is to cultivate and restore forms of consciousness, governance, education and cooperation through which power itself becomes an expression of life rather than domination.

Only then can humanity move beyond the limitations of both unipolar and multipolar competition towards a genuinely flourishing planetary civilisation.

Key References

Four Arrows, Kiyala, J.C.K. and Kapuya, Z. (2026). 'The Kinship Worldview and Sustainability of the BRICS+ Nations in the Anthropocene and Capitalocene'. In: *Sustainability in the BRICS+ Nations*. Cham: Springer.

Four Arrows and Freedman, P. (eds.) (2024). *Kinship Worldview: Indigenous Authors Going Deeper with Holistic Education*. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.

Four Arrows and Narvaez, D. (eds.) (2022). *Restoring the Kinship Worldview: Indigenous Voices Introduce 28 Precepts for Rebalancing Life on Planet Earth*. Berkeley, CA: North Atlantic Books.

Ellyatt, W. (2025). Paradigms as Lived Symbolic Systems: Worldviews, Regeneration, and Eco-Systemic Flourishing, This View of Life Magazine, ProSocial World, March 2026

Ellyatt, W. (2025). Toward a Unitive Narrative and Worldview: An Integrative Response to the Global Meta-Crisis. *J. Frontier Science. Res. Environ. Earth Sci.*

Ellyatt, W. (2025). 'Eco-Systemic Flourishing: Towards an Integrative Architecture for Human and Planetary Wellbeing', *Challenges*, 16(21).

Ellyatt, W. (2025). *Worldviews for a Flourishing Planet*. Galileo Commission White Paper.

Ellyatt, W. (2024). 'Optimising Worldviews for a Flourishing Planet: Exploring the Principle of Right Relationship', *Challenges*, 15(4), Article 42.

The Flourish Project is a global initiative dedicated to advancing the Ecosystemic Flourishing (ESF) Framework - an integrative approach to wellbeing that recognises the deep interdependence between human development, cultural values, regenerative economies, and the natural world. Rooted in systems thinking, relational practice, and emerging research on flourishing, the project seeks to support the development of compassionate, resilient, and ecologically conscious individuals and communities through education, policy innovation, research, and cross-sector collaboration.

A global multidimensional framework designed to complement GDP through the measurement of equitable, inclusive, and sustainable wellbeing across present and future generations. The framework integrates wellbeing, inclusion, sustainability, resilience, institutional quality, and environmental conditions into a unified dashboard architecture for national and international policy application.